

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/707,055	Applicant(s) CARLSSON, SVEN-AKE
	Examiner Roger L Pang	Art Unit 3681

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Roger L Pang.

(3) _____.

(2) Tracy Druce.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

12-13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

an examiner's amendment was agreed upon which would shorten the abstract and correct a possible 35 USC 112, second paragraph problem. Applicant also faxed in the copy of the PCT for the examiner to review.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**ROGER PANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)